

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). ·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/754,221		01/09/2004	Michael Tjader	1523.053US1	8033		
21186	759	0 11/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SCHWE	GMAN	N, LUNDBERG, WOE	DANG, HOANG C				
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	0 2.0	,		3672			
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/754,221	TJADER, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hoang Dang	3672		

	Hoang Dang	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba offidavit, or other evider or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	it of the fee. The appropri iginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)).	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see No	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered be OTE below);	ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally r	eiected claims	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	16 and 41 33(a))	ojeotea olaimis.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	The second reduce of their c	ompliant Americanent	1 101-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. \boxtimes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entered, or b) U	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5-8 and 12-19</u> Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 4, 9-11, 20 and 21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	before or on the date of filing a last sufficient reasons why the affidation	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	,. ed
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but ———————————————————————————————————		in condition for allowar	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	\bigcap 1 \bigcap		
	$\langle X I \rangle$	Hoang Dang	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3672	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20061107

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/754,221

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "wherein full extension of the first camming linkage and the second camming linkage results in a jaw spacing that is smaller than a diameter of a drill stem coupling portion and larger than a diameter of a middle of a drill stem section" in claims 1 and 9 clearly raises new issue that would require further consideration or/and search.